



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/701,245 11/04/2003		Yong-Jun Kwak	678-1299 (P10931)	6089		
28249 75	590 03/27/2006	EXAMINER				
	& BARRESE, LLP		FIGUEROA,	FIGUEROA, MARISOL		
333 EARLE O' UNIONDALE,	VINGTON BLVD. NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1.000			2617			

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s					
		10/701,2	45	KWAK ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit	T			
		Marisol Fi	-	2681				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nunication. atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir iii expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 December 2	005.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	,—							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 Novembe</u>	<u>r 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ a	ccepted or b)  object	ed to by the Exar	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	NTO 040)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 12/29/2005. Claims 1-12 are still pending in the present application.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, the Applicant argues that in the Jain et al. reference, a desired threshold (i.e. target ROT) is determined by an access network and the access network is a base station, which is a different element from the feature of deciding a target ROT as recited in claim 1 (Page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4 of the remarks). The Examiner respectfully disagrees, on paragraph 0032, lines 3-4 of the Jain et al. that the "access network" is a base station or a base station controller, therefore the base station controller (i.e. RNC) can determine the target ROT which is the same element that is recited in claim 1.

Second, the Applicant argues that in the Jain et al. the "access network" does not adjust the target ROT according to the ROT measurement (Page 3, paragraph 1 of the remarks). The Examiner respectfully disagrees, making reference to figure 3; it is shown on step 192 that the measured ROT is compared to a th\_desired threshold (i.e. target ROT) and on steps 194-196 a th\_outerloop threshold (i.e. th\_desired) is adjusted according to the comparing step 192.

Last, the Applicant argues that the Jain et al. reference does not transit the adjusted target ROT (Page 3, paragraph 2 of the remarks). The Examiner respectfully disagrees, the Jain et al. reference teaches that the adjusted threshold value of the outerloop threshold (i.e. target ROT) is used to compare to a congestion metric and which generates and transmit a congestion bit

accordingly to access terminals (p.0039), therefore it would be inherent that the adjusted outerloop threshold is transmitted to the base station because the base station communicates with the base station controller and transmits the congestion bit to the access terminals (Figure 2; steps 154-156; p.0021, lines 18-21).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jain et al. U.S. Publication No. 2002/0193118 A1.

Regarding claim 1, Jain discloses a method of determining a target noise rise over thermal noise (ROT) for a target cell in a radio network controller (RNC) in a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) mobile communication system (P.0038, lines 1-5, 7-11; P.0021, lines 18-21; the access network, e.g. base station in communication with a base station controller and plurality of access terminals, determines a target ROT (TH\_OUTERLOOP)) where ROTs in cells measured by each of a plurality of Node Bs within a coverage area of the RNC are maintained equal to or less than target ROTs for the cells (P.0038, lines 11-16; P.0039, lines 1-5; P.0040, lines 14-19; the outerloop threshold (target ROT) is used to correct the available capacity, e.g. maintain measured ROT within a predetermined level, due to interference from neighboring cells), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a measurement ROT for the target cell from a Node B that controls the target cell (P.0038, lines 10-16);

adjusting a target ROT for the target cell according to a relation between the measurement ROT and the target ROT for the target cell (P.0039, lines 1-10); and

transmitting the adjusted target ROT to the Node B (P.0040, lines 14-19; P.0051; the adjusted threshold (TH\_OUTERLOOP) is applied by the congestion control unit of the base station to correct a cell's available capacity).

Regarding claim 2, Jain discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the measurement ROT in the target cell is received periodically (P.0037, lines 1-5; P.0038, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 7, Jain discloses an apparatus for determining a target noise rise over thermal noise (ROT) for a target cell in a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) mobile communication system where ROTs in cells measured by each of a plurality of Node Bs are maintained equal to or less than target ROTs for the cells, the apparatus comprising:

a Node B for measuring ROTs in the target cell and cells neighboring the target cell within a coverage area of the Node B (P.0037, lines 1-2; P.0030, lines 1-9; the access network determines the congestion information or level, e.g. ROT), transmitting the ROTs (P.0038, lines 11-12; the access network measures the ROT), and updating the target ROT for the target cell to an adjusted target ROT (P.0038, lines 1-3, 7-18; P.0039, lines 1-10; the TH\_OUTERLOOP (target ROT) is adjusted by comparing it with the measured metric (measured ROT); and

a radio network controller (RNC) for receiving the ROTs, adjusting the target ROT for the target cell according to a relation between the ROTs and preset target ROT for the target cell, and transmitting the adjusted target ROT to the Node B (P.0032, lines 1-5; P.0038; P.0039; P.0040, lines 14-19; the process of adjusting the TH\_OUTERLOOP (target ROT) for congestion control in a cell is performed in an access network such as a Base Station or Base Station Controller).

Regarding claim 8, Jain discloses the apparatus of claim 7, wherein the Node B transmits the ROTs periodically to the RNC (P.0037, lines 1-5; P.0038, lines 10-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,245

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claim 3, 4, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain in view of Choi U.S. Patent No. 6,295,452 B1.

Regarding claim 3, Jain discloses the method of claim 1, but fails to disclose wherein the measurement ROT in the target cell is received when the measurement ROT is one of less than and greater than the target ROT by a predetermined threshold. Choi teaches a method for soft handoff in where a mobile station periodically measures the strength of the pilot signal from current and candidate base stations and compare the signals to a preset threshold, if the pilot strength from a candidate base station is greater than a threshold value, the mobile station transmits the measurements results to its base station (col.2, lines 40-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to receive the measurement ROT when is less or greater than a predetermined threshold in order to receive only the necessary measurements to adjust the target ROT according to them.

Regarding claim 4, Jain discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the measurement ROT in the target cell is received periodically (P.0037, lines 1-5; P.0038, lines 10-15), but fails to disclose that measurements are received when the measurement ROT is one of less than and greater than the target ROT by a predetermined threshold. Choi teaches a method for soft handoff in where a mobile station periodically measures the strength of the pilot signal from current and candidate base stations and compare the signals to a preset threshold, if the pilot strength from a candidate base station is greater than a threshold value, the mobile station transmits the measurements results to its base station (col.2, lines 40-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

in the art at the time of the invention to receive the measurement ROT when is less or greater than a predetermined threshold in order to receive only the necessary measurements to adjust the target ROT according to them.

Regarding claim 9, Jain discloses the apparatus of claim 7, but fails to disclose wherein the Node B transmits the ROTs to the RNC if the ROTs are one of less than and greater than the target ROTs by a predetermined threshold Choi teaches a method for soft handoff in where a mobile station periodically measures the strength of the pilot signal from current and candidate base stations and compare the signals to a preset threshold, if the pilot strength from a candidate base station is greater than a threshold value, the mobile station transmits the measurements results to its base station (col.2, lines 40-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to receive the measurement ROT when is less or greater than a predetermined threshold in order to receive only the necessary measurements to adjust the target ROT according to them.

Regarding claim 10, Jain discloses the apparatus of claim 7, but fails to disclose wherein the Node B transmits the ROTs to the RNC periodically, and when the ROTs are one less than and greater than the target ROT by a predetermined threshold. Choi teaches a method for soft handoff in where a mobile station periodically measures the strength of the pilot signal from current and candidate base stations and compare the signals to a preset threshold, if the pilot strength from a candidate base station is greater than a threshold value, the mobile station transmits the measurements results to its base station (col.2, lines 40-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to receive the measurement ROT when is less or greater than a predetermined threshold in order to receive only the necessary measurements to adjust the target ROT according to them.

7. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain in view of Einola et al. U.S. Publication No. 2005/0009518 A1.

Regarding claim 5, Jain discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the measurement ROT is received from the Node B and the adjusted target ROT is transmitted to the Node B using Node B application part signaling messages. Einola teaches that in radio access networks such as UTRAN comprising of a set of Base Stations and Radio Network controllers communicate with each other using signaling messages (P.0005, lines 22-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit the adjusted ROT using signaling messages since is commonly well known that in radio access networks, communication between Base Stations, Radio Network Controllers and MSC is made by signaling messages.

Regarding claim 11, Jain discloses the apparatus of 7, wherein the Node B transmits the ROTs to the RNC and the RNC transmits the adjusted target ROT to the Node B using Node B application part signaling messages. Einola teaches that in radio access networks such as UTRAN comprising of a set of Base Stations and Radio Network controllers communicate with each other using signaling messages (P.0005, lines 22-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit the adjusted ROT using signaling messages since is commonly well known that in radio access networks, communication between Base Stations, Radio Network Controllers and MSC is made by signaling messages.

8. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain in view of Kim et al. U.S. Publication No. 2002/0141349 A1.

Regarding claim 6, Jain discloses the method of claim 1, but fails to discloses wherein if the measurement ROT is maintained less than the target ROT in the target cell for a predetermined time, the RNC decreases the target ROT, and if the measurement ROT is maintained equal to or greater than the target ROT in the target cell for the predetermined time, the RNC increases the target ROT. Kim teaches that is typically of a communication system to maintain a ROT near a predetermined value for the transmission in a reverse link to be stable (P.0045). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to decrease the target ROT if the measured ROT is less than the target ROT and increase the target ROT if the measured ROT is greater than the target ROT, in order to make adjustments to the target ROT that guarantees an stable communication system, since an stable system is guarantee when the actual values of ROT in a cell are maintained near a predetermined threshold level.

Regarding claim 12, Jain discloses the apparatus of claim 7, but fails to disclose wherein if the ROT is maintained less than the target ROT in the target cell for a predetermined time, the RNC decreases the target ROT, and if the ROT is maintained equal to or greater than the target ROT in the target cell for the predetermined time, the RNC increases the target ROT. Kim teaches that is typically of a communication system to maintain a ROT near a predetermined value for the transmission in a reverse link to be stable (P.0045). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to decrease the target ROT if the measured ROT is less than the target ROT and increase the target ROT if the measured ROT is greater than the target ROT, in order to make adjustments to the target ROT that guarantees an stable communication system, since an stable system is guarantee when the actual values of ROT in a cell are maintained near a predetermined threshold level.

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,245

Art Unit: 2681

10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Marisol Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-7840. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday Thru Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marisof Figueroa Marisol Figueroa

> LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9